

Migration aspirations of European youth in times of crisis

Christof Van Mol

*Migration & Migrants, Netherlands Interdisciplinary Demographic Institute / KNAW / UG,
The Hague, the Netherlands*

Lange Houtstraat 19, 2511CV the Hague, the Netherlands. Tel: ++31 (0)70 3565254. Email:
mol@nidi.nl

Migration aspirations of European youth in times of crisis

In recent years, the European Union passed through a significant economic crisis. All across Europe, European young people are among the groups which are hit hardest, with youth unemployment rates rising to over 50 per cent in member states such as Greece and Spain. In the classical migration literature, it is suggested that such unfavourable economic climate would make people more likely to move abroad. Whereas in press releases we are regularly confronted with stories about South European young adults with tertiary education working in bars in Northern European cities, no empirical evidence exists as such on the relationship between the recent Euro-crisis and migration aspirations. This paper addresses this gap in the academic literature. Using data from Flash Eurobarometer 395, I investigate which micro- and macro-level characteristics influence migration aspirations across the member states of the European Union. The results reveal the importance of individual characteristics and feelings of discontent with the current climate in explaining migration aspirations. Furthermore, I detect a negative relationship of relative welfare levels with migration aspirations, and a positive relationship of the youth unemployment ratio. Together, the results suggest that potential young intra-EU movers are positively selected from the population.

Keywords: Economic crisis; migration; youth; aspirations; Europe

Introduction

In recent years, the global economic recession led to increasing unemployment levels in the European Union (EU). Young people are hit particularly hard, as about a third of them is unemployed today (Eurostat 2015). In the popular press, rising youth unemployment rates are regularly connected to migration to other European countries, particularly from Southern towards North-Western Europe. A large share of these new intra-EU migration flows would consist of tertiary educated young adults, who in search of a better life accept jobs below their educational qualifications abroad. There are abundant examples of press reports on specific cases of young Europeans' skill downgrading after moving, working, for example, in clothing shops in Amsterdam (e.g. Alderman 2013), coffee bars in London (e.g. The Economist 2013b) or local rental firms in Berlin (The Economist 2013a). Although some researchers also suggested recent trends of youth migration within the EU are linked to rising levels of youth unemployment, arguing that it is more 'extensive, selective and diversified than in previous recessions' (O'Reilly et al. 2015), apart from some single-country studies (e.g. Cairns 2014;

Cairns, Growiec, and de Almeida Alves 2014), the influence of the detrimental labour prospects on youth migration dynamics has not been well empirically investigated today (Kahanec and Fabo 2013, 3). It is exactly this gap in the literature this paper aims to address. Relying on representative data for youth aged 16-30 in all member states of the European Union, I study which individual background characteristics, personal perceptions and macro-economic factors are correlated with migration aspirations. As such, this paper contributes to our empirical understanding on the factors driving European youth migration.

Apart from the empirical contribution, this paper also adds to current policy debates. After all, the European Commission attaches great importance to intra-EU mobility of persons. Such mobility would be beneficial for the competitiveness of the European Union, and it is hence no surprise barriers to mobility are increasingly being removed (Recchi and Favell 2009; Eichhorst, Hinte, and Rinne 2013). Geographical mobility between EU-member states would target labour market disparities and have a positive on the European economy, as people would move where the jobs are. This way, a mobile labour force is considered to be vital both for economic integration to succeed and for the EU to retain its economic competitiveness among global economies (Shore and Black 1994; Kahanec and Fabo 2013).

Finally, this paper adds thematically to the academic literature. Although the establishment of the right to freedom of movement in the 1990s facilitated movements to other European countries for family reasons, study, work or retirement, European migration research has long focused on lowly-skilled labour migration (King 2002), particularly from non-European countries towards the EU. Apart from East-West movements following subsequent European Union enlargements (e.g. Cook, Dwyer, and Waite 2011; Gill and Bialski 2011; Garapich 2008), it is only in recent years scholars started to study intra-EU migration, both more generally (e.g. Recchi 2015; Timmerman et al. 2015), as well as by focusing on different sub-populations of European migrants, such as middle-class professionals (e.g. Tzeng 2012; Verwiebe 2008), marriage migration (e.g. de Valk and Diez Medrano 2014; Koelet, Van Mol, and de Valk 2015), students (e.g. Van Mol 2014; Carlson 2013), retirement migrants (e.g. King, Warnes, and Williams 2000) and cross-border commuters (Ralph 2015). Nevertheless, while explorations of adults' motivations for migration are relatively commonplace (Cairns 2009), we know less about young people's motivations for transnational movements or the lack of them. In this paper, I thereby add thematically to this growing body of literature by focusing on European youth migration.

Background

Migration aspirations

This paper is grafted on the notion of migration aspirations instead of actual migration behaviour. This choice is informed by data availability: to my knowledge, as yet no representative international comparative data exists on migration behaviour of young people across all EU-member states. However, an investigation of young people's migratory aspirations are a valuable starting point for grasping migration-related dynamics. Aspirations point to mental processes that affect ideas, wishes and preoccupations of individuals, and 'can be expressed in behavioural and conscious psychological ways' (Azmat et al. 2013, 99). Migration aspirations as a function of spatial aspirations (encompassing the aspiration to move or to stay) can then be defined as 'the conviction that migration is desirable' given the specific context an individual is situated in, in combination with his/her personal characteristics (Carling 2014, 2). Therefore, it can be expected that young people's migration aspirations (or the lack of them) are related to wider life goals in terms of improving their personal situation in the long run. It is hence imperative that research into migration dynamics takes the goals, motivations and aspirations of individuals into account (Boneva and Frieze 2001; Massey et al. 1998; Timmerman, Heyse, and Van Mol 2011). In this paper, we combine macro-level data with individual level data. As such, this paper offers an insight into the 'behavioural link' between macro-level dynamics and the micro-level (De Haas 2011).

Of course, it should be remarked that migration aspirations do not necessarily feed into actual migration behaviour (Epstein and Gang 2006; Cairns and Smyth 2011; Santacreu, Baldoni, and Albert 2009). Nevertheless, 'behavioural intentions account for an appreciable proportion of variance in actual behaviour' (Ajzen 2005, 100), and migration intentions are considered to be a good predictor of migration behaviour (e.g. De Jong 2000; Simmons 1985; van Dalen and Henkens 2012). Furthermore, in the Dutch context it has been suggested that the forces triggering migration intentions are the same triggering actual migratory behaviour (van Dalen and Henkens 2012). In addition, migration aspirations of young adults can be considered to be a property of communities that can affect other age groups as well (Bjarnason and Thorlindsson 2006). Therefore, migration aspirations of youth should 'be treated as a measure of *migration potential* rather than a proxy measure of actual future migration' (Bjarnason and Thorlindsson 2006, 291).

Contextual and individual characteristics affecting migration aspirations

The link between economic conditions and migratory intentions is classical in the international migration literature, focusing on economic differences between countries in

terms of wages, unemployment and economic prosperity as drivers of international migration (e.g. Sjaastad 1962; Todaro and Maruszko 1987; Hadler 2006; Fassmann and Meusburger 1997). Individuals would thereby move from places with low employment opportunities and wages to countries where wages are higher and more jobs available. On the individual level, rational cost-benefit analyses would be made to improve a person's situation when deciding to move (Hadler 2006). Some recent studies on young adults provide partial evidence on these dynamics. A qualitative study of Cairns and colleagues (2014) among Portuguese graduate students, for example, suggested that international mobility often figures as a possible option when domestic labour market prospects are not very positive. In a similar vein, a study of Van Mol (2014) revealed that Italian students often move abroad for study because of economic circumstances, with the aim to secure employment in the domestic labour market upon their return. Finally, a recent study into work placements of UK students showed that the economic recession heightened the propensity of British students to enrol in such international schemes (Deakin 2014). Also here, international mobility fulfils the function of heightening chances on the domestic labour market upon return.

Apart from adverse macro-economic conditions 'pushing' people abroad, however, it is likely micro-level characteristics and personal opinions also play a role. After all, significant heterogeneity between individuals exist: different individuals in the same country exhibit different propensities to move or stay. First, gender shows to play a specific role in migration movements. Whereas in some migration flows, women are overrepresented, other flows appear to be male-dominated. In the European context, it has been suggested men are more likely to have high migration aspirations for work (Vandenbrande et al. 2006), whereas women would be more inclined than men to participate in study exchanges (European Commission 2014). Second, age also plays a significant role. Several studies showed that older individuals are less likely to migrate (Sjaastad 1962) or study abroad (Netz 2015). Third, the educational level of an individual can also be expected to influence his or her migration aspirations. It is often reported that migrants are a positively selected group in terms of education (e.g. Feliciano 2005). Migrants are often young, highly educated and described as being ambitious, adventurous and risk-takers (e.g. Borjas and Bratsberg 1996; De Haas 2010; Braun and Arsene 2009). Fourth, employment status can be expected to play a role as well. It can be expected that unemployed people are more likely to seek work abroad when opportunities in the home country are limited. Furthermore, students might be more inclined to move abroad as well, as they are freer from constraints and might have the opportunity to benefit from financial support of parents and/or mobility schemes such as the Erasmus

programme to move abroad (e.g. Van Mol 2014). Fifth, it has been well established in the literature on international migration that once moved, migrants are likely to move again (e.g. Deléchat 2001; Massey and Zenteno 1999). Therefore, it can be expected that previous experiences abroad are correlated with a higher propensity to migrate (again). Finally, the urbanisation level of the locality an individual lives in potentially influences his/her migration aspirations. It has been reported, for example, that in rural areas youth are very likely to migrate elsewhere (Bjarnason and Thorlindsson 2006). It should be noted, however, that this often points to internal instead of international mobility, namely from rural to urban areas.

Finally, besides ‘fixed’ background characteristics, individuals’ personal opinions at a specific time can also be expected to influence their migration aspirations. Thaut (2009) documented in Lithuania, for example, that a mismatch between the educational system and the domestic labour market induces emigration. Furthermore, research in the Netherlands showed that people who are discontent with the quality of the public domain are more likely to move (van Dalen and Henkens 2012). Therefore, it can be expected that individuals who perceive more mismatches and express more feelings of discontent are more likely to move.

As studies of diverse migration streams find considerable variation in the nature and degree of migrant selectivity and relate this to country effects (Jokisch and Pribilsky 2002), it can be expected the variation in geographic mobility rates across EU Member states is not easily explained by individual characteristics alone. A multi-level analysis covering different countries of origin is hence a suitable approach for taking into account this variation across and within EU member states.

Methodology

Data

In order to investigate which individual and contextual factors are related to migration aspirations among European youth, the Flash Eurobarometer 395 (European Youth 2014) is used (European Parliament/European Commission 2014). Flash Eurobarometer surveys are conducted at request of the European Commission, and often provide information on pressing policy issues. The sample of the Flash Eurobarometer 395 contains 13,437 young individuals (aged 16-30) from all 28 EU-member states. A multi-stage random (probabilistic) sample was drawn in each member state. For each member state, about 500 individuals were surveyed through Computer Assisted Telephone Interviews (CATI) between 13 March and 2 April 2014.

Variables

Dependent variable

Migration aspirations were measured by the statement ‘You want to study, undergo training or work in another EU country than [country]’ (0 = no, 1 = yes). Although it would have been desirable to differentiate between aspirations to study and work abroad, the Flash Eurobarometer data do not allow this. Nevertheless, it has recently been argued that mobile students can also be intrinsically considered as a migrant category (Van Mol 2014), which can be framed within the broader category of youth mobilities (King 2002). Furthermore, study abroad often appears to function as a way to cope with limited labour market prospects in the home country as well (Van Mol 2014).

Independent variables: individual level

In order to investigate which individual characteristics make an individual more likely to aspire migration, several variables were used. First, gender is included as a dichotomous variable (0 = female, 1 = male). Second, age is measured as a continuous variable in years. The same models were run with age centred at its mean, and the results are largely the same. Third, respondents educational level was measured by a continuous variable indicating the age when respondents finished their education. This variable was recoded into four categories (1 = until the age of 15/no formal education; 2 = until age 16-19; 3 = until age 20 or older; 4 = still studying). Given the small number of cases with education until the age of 15/no formal education (see table 2), the age range 16-19 is used as the reference category. I expect young people falling into this category to have completed secondary education. Fourth, individuals’ employment level was included as a categorical variable (1 = unemployed; 2 = employed; 3 = studying). As I expect unemployed young people and students to be more inclined to move compared to employed people, the latter are chosen as the reference category. Fifth, previous international experience abroad is measured by the statement ‘You have already studied, undergone training or worked in another EU country than [country], or you are currently doing it’ (0 = no, 1 = yes). Sixth, I included information on the urbanisation level of the locality respondents live in. The localities were coded as an ordinal variable (1 = rural area, 2 = small or medium sized town, 3 = large town). Individuals living in a rural area are taken as the reference category, as it is expected they will be more inclined to move. Finally, two indicators of individuals’ satisfaction with the situation in their home country are included. A first indicator asked about respondents’ perception of the compatibility of the national education and training system with the domestic labour market, based on the question ‘Do

you think that in country, training, school and university education are well adapted or not to the current world of work?’ Respondents could answer this question on a 4-point scale, ranging from 1 (very well adapted) to 4 (not at all adapted). Feelings of exclusion are measured by the question ‘Do you have the feeling that in [country], young people have been marginalised by the economic crisis, that is to say excluded from economic and social life?’, which respondents could rate from 1 (yes, definitely) to 4 (No, definitely not). Both scales have been inversed to facilitate interpretation.

Independent variables: macro-level

In the multilevel model, five contextual variables are included. The choice for these variables is based on the arguments put forward in the first section of this paper. First, it is expected that the employment situation in a country influences the likelihood of moving abroad. Therefore, we include numbers on the general unemployment rate in 2014 for each country as well as specifically for the young population, aged 15-24, based on numbers provided by Eurostat. Furthermore, we include the youth unemployment ratio in our models as well. The youth unemployment ratio is generally lower compared to the youth unemployment rate, as it is an unemployment-to-population measure (Eurostat 2015). Second, two economic indicators, namely the Gross Domestic Product (GDP) per capita and the Actual Individual Consumption (AIC) per capita are included. The GDP per capita is a control for a country’s level of economic welfare, whereas the AIC per capita allows to compare the relative welfare of consumers across countries (Eurostat 2014).

Analytical strategy

The presented analysis is based on random intercept models for binary dependent variables (Snijders and Bosker 1999; Guo and Zhao 2000). These are suitable to describe phenomena whereby respondents are nested within countries (Hox 2010). Furthermore, a multi-stage sampling design, which is the one applied in the Eurobarometer, potentially introduces bias in the estimates of the standard errors when applying standard regression models. This problem, however, can be efficiently handled with a multilevel analysis (Goldstein 1995). The key independent variables are individuals’ migration aspirations. The chosen approach allows to control for cross-sectional variation across countries. The equations are estimated in Stata14.

Results

Descriptive statistics

A significant variety exists among European member states considering unemployment levels as well as macro-economic conditions. Therefore, before turning to the multilevel analysis, I provide an overview of the macro-economic situation wherein young people live in the different member states of the European Union (table 1). When considering the Gross Domestic Product per capita as well as the Actual Individual Consumption per capita, a clear difference emerges between peripheral countries with lower levels, particularly CEE (Central and Eastern European) and Southern European countries. Furthermore, youth unemployment rates also vary significantly. Particularly Cyprus, Spain, Greece, Croatia, Italy, Portugal and Slovakia stand out, as about 1 in 3 young adults are unemployed. On the other end of the scale, North-Western European countries such as Austria, Germany, Denmark and the Netherlands as well as Malta have comparatively low levels of youth unemployment, about 1 in 10 young adults. When comparing the youth unemployment rate with the youth unemployment ratio, it can be noticed a similar pattern emerges, however, with slight variations. Whereas Slovakia does not appear anymore among the countries with the highest youth unemployment ratios, Finland and Sweden are characterised by a relatively high youth unemployment ratio as well.

INSERT TABLE 1 ABOUT HERE.

When considering the migration aspirations of young people across the member states of the European Union based on the Flash Eurobarometer, it can be observed migration aspirations are most commonly expressed in Estonia, Croatia, Slovenia, Romania and Bulgaria. In these countries, more than 60 per cent stated to aim to move to another EU-country in the future. When considering the contextual characteristics of each member state in light of migration aspirations, it can be observed these five countries are also characterised by a low GDP and AIC per capita. Considering youth unemployment rates, among these five countries, all except Croatia have middle-range shares of unemployed people, ranging between 15 and 25 per cent. According to this reasoning, however, young people from Hungary, Poland and Latvia should also show higher levels of migration aspirations, which is not the case. It is hence likely other factors are at play as well.

When considering the descriptive statistics of the sample included in the Flash Eurobarometer 395 (table 2), it can be observed that overall, aspirations to go abroad are relatively high among our sample. About half of the respondents states to have such

aspirations. This is in sharp contrast with data from Eurobarometer surveys among the general population. The Eurobarometer on geographical and labour market mobility, for example, indicated that 17 per cent of respondents envisaged living and/or working abroad in the future (European Commission 2010). Furthermore, the table indicates that the mean age of the surveyed individuals is 23.42 years old. The gender balance of the sample is quite equal, although men are slightly overrepresented. When considering the educational level of the respondents, it can be noticed that very few (2.65 per cent) obtained no formal education or only went to school until the age of 15. The countries where the highest number of individuals with no formal education is reported are Austria (25), Bulgaria (44), Germany (24) and Romania (32).

INSERT TABLE 2 ABOUT HERE.

Considering the employment status of the respondents, it can be noted that most young people were employed at the time of the survey. The overall unemployment rate in our sample (14.9 per cent) is lower than the European average in 2014 (21.4 per cent) (Eurostat 2015). This might be due to the fact that the sample includes individuals until the age of 30, whereas the numbers of Eurostat only cover the young population until the age of 24. When comparing the share of unemployed young people in our sample with the unemployment statistics of the Eurostat (figure 1), however, it becomes clear that for almost all member states, the youth unemployment rate is higher than the one obtained in the sample. The unemployment ratio, however, is generally lower compared to the numbers reported in our sample.

INSERT FIGURE 1 ABOUT HERE.

In line with the general population as reported in other studies, the majority of respondents did not yet live abroad. Furthermore, the division of the sample according to the urbanisation level is quite homogeneous. Finally, it can be noticed that most individuals do not respond extremely on the question inquiring about the adaptation of the educational system and the labour market. In contrast, respondents of the Flash Eurobarometer 395 more often reported medium to strong feelings of marginalisation.

Multilevel analysis

The null model (not shown in table), including our outcome variable and the random-effects, indicates that there is significant variance across countries considering young people's migration aspirations, making the multilevel approach recommendable.

Individual level effects

Table 3 presents two models. In Model I, the individual-level variables are added. Together, the individual-level variables explain about 10 per cent of the total variation. First, it can be observed that, in line with the expectations, the odds of moving to another country for study or work are greater for males, and decrease with an increasing age. Furthermore, model I reveals that the odds of moving to a different country are high among young people who are still enrolled in education. Whereas no differences could be detected between those who received little formal education and those who received education until they were 16-19 years old, the odds of moving abroad significantly differ with those who received education to at least the age of 20, as well as those who are still studying. Similarly, young people still enrolled in education are more likely to move abroad compared to those who are employed. Furthermore, unemployed individuals also have higher chances to consider migration as a possible option. Interestingly, prior experience of living abroad shows to be highly correlated with migration aspirations. Individuals with such experience have 2.19 higher odds of moving abroad in the future compared to those without such experience. In addition, the model reveals – contrarily to our expectations – that individuals living in rural areas are less likely to move compared to young individuals living in urbanised areas. Finally, when considering the individual opinions of young people, it can be noticed that those who consider the domestic educational system and the labour market to fit quite well have lower odds of moving abroad. Those who express feelings of marginalisation, in contrast, are much more likely to move to another European country in the future.

Context effects

In model II, explanatory variables at the country-level are included. Together with the individual-level variables, they explain 14 per cent of the total variation. As can be noticed, the significance of the individual-level characteristics does not change. The model clearly shows that no correlation can be detected between migration aspirations and the general unemployment level, the youth unemployment level and the GDP per capita. A significant negative relationship is detected, however, between the AIC per capita and migration aspirations, as well as a positive relationship between the youth unemployment ratio and

migration aspirations. The results thus provide partial evidence on the link between macro-economic conditions and migration aspirations. So the higher the relative welfare in a country, the less likely it is young people will move abroad. Contrarily, the higher the youth unemployment ratio, the greater the odds young people leave the country for study or work.

In a final analytical step, I investigated several normal interaction as well as cross-level interaction effects (see table 4). First, I investigated whether there is an interaction effect between educational level and employment status (students excluded). However, no significant interactions could be detected. Second, the interaction between the youth unemployment ratio and educational level of the respondent is investigated, as such interaction can indicate how individuals from different educational backgrounds respond to the crisis. The results show that higher educated people are more likely to move abroad when the youth unemployment ratio is higher. Third, I investigate a three-way interaction between youth unemployment ratio, educational level and employment status (students excluded). The analysis only shows a significant effect for individuals who received education until the age of 16-19 and were employed at the time of surveying. The odds of moving abroad are lower for these individuals. Finally, I investigated the interaction between the youth unemployment ratio and gender, to investigate whether there is a gender effect in migratory responses to economic conditions. However, no evidence for such gender effect is detected.

Discussion

In recent years, the national and international media suggested that with growing levels of youth unemployment, young people in the European Union would increasingly move abroad, particularly from countries hit hard by the crisis to north-western European countries. Nevertheless, empirical research into this assumed relationship remains limited today. Therefore, based on a representative sample of young people aged 16-30 in all member states of the European Union, I aimed to unravel which individual background characteristics, personal perceptions and macro-economic factors are correlated with migration aspirations among young individuals in the European Union. The paper hence provided empirically grounded insights into the drivers of youth migration within the EU.

Considering the individual characteristics that make young people consider the option of international migration, several findings stand out. First, prior experience abroad is related to the highest odds of moving abroad in the future. Therefore, the results clearly show that those who move within the EU are likely to become repeat migrants. Second, the findings revealed that men are more likely to move within the EU compared to women. This might be

related to the caring responsibilities often attributed to women, which might make an international move less easier. Nevertheless, it should be noted this finding might not be equally applicable to those aiming to study abroad. After all, it has been demonstrated that female students are more likely to consider a study period abroad compared with male students (e.g. Salisbury, Paulsen, and Pascarella 2010; European Commission 2014). This gender effect on migration aspirations thus warrants further investigation. In this paper, I investigated whether the interaction between the youth unemployment ratio and gender impacts on migration aspirations. However, no significant effects emerged from this analysis. It is hence plausible that men and women respond in a similar way to adverse economic conditions, but that family and social constraints more often limit the possibilities to move for women. Study abroad, in contrast, might take place in earlier phases of life, when students do not yet dispose of a family and children, making participation a conceivable option. Third, and in line with previous research, the odds of moving decrease with an increasing age. This again can be related to the specific life phase young(er) people are situated in. The younger individuals are, for example, the less likely they have a family on their own or a mortgage which should be paid for. Fourth, the findings clearly indicate that the propensity to move is higher among the highly educated. This result contradicts some theories in the classical migration literature postulating that positive selection of migrants would mainly take place when ‘pull’ factors are more important than ‘push’ factors (Lee 1966). To dig deeper into this, I investigated the interaction between the youth unemployment ratio and educational level. Once again, the findings contradict theories of positive migrant selectivity under certain criteria, as higher educated people are more likely to move abroad when the unemployment ratio is higher. Fifth, the results revealed that students are more likely to move compared to employed people. This might again be related to the fact that students are freer from the constraints of everyday life and have fewer (familial) responsibilities. Interestingly, the unemployed also display higher odds to move abroad, indicating that geographical mobility becomes a plausible option when domestic labour market opportunities are closed down. Moreover, when considering the interaction between education, employment status and youth unemployment ratio, moreover, it becomes clear that in countries with a high youth unemployment ratio, those who received secondary education and are employed are the least likely to move. Those who move are hence likely to have a less stable position in the home country. Sixth, our expectations considering out-migration of rural areas are not confirmed. On the contrary, young people living in urban areas are much more likely to move abroad. This might point to a pattern of internal rural-urban migration for those living in rural areas,

whereas those living in medium to large cities might have more cosmopolitan influences and are hence more likely to move abroad when economic conditions become worse. Finally, I revealed a correlation between individual opinions and migration aspirations. The results suggest that when people are unhappy with certain aspects of public life in the home country, they are more likely to move as well.

Context effects, on their turn, explain part of the variation in migration aspirations as well. No evidence was found for a correlation of the general unemployment level, the youth unemployment level and GDP per capita and migration aspirations. Nevertheless, a significant negative relationship could be detected considering the AIC per capita and migration aspirations. This indicates that the higher the relative welfare in a country, the less likely it is young people will move abroad. Furthermore, a positive relationship was detected between the youth unemployment ratio and migration aspirations. In line with the expectations, with higher numbers of unemployed peers, the greater thus the odds young people aspire to move abroad. A competition effect might be at play here. With a higher youth unemployment ratio, competition for vacancies becomes more fierce, as more people apply for the same jobs, and the chance of securing a job becomes smaller. Hence young people move to places where they consider to have more opportunities to pursue their life goals.

However, some critical remarks could be made. Intra-European mobility is being promoted by the European Commission because of its positive effects on the European economy. As a beneficial side-effect, intra-EU movers would be more likely to identify with Europe. Nevertheless, intra-European youth migration flows driven by economic hardship, whereby young people experience a significant mismatch considering the work they do in destination countries in comparison with their educational degree, might not be the mobility form European policy makers have in mind. To qualitatively improve mobility within Europe would require tackling the reasons for out-migration for highly educated young adults in countries hit hard by the crisis. This way, intra-EU mobility would become an option rather than a necessity. It can be hypothesised, for example, that youth mobility driven by limited prospects feeds Eurosceptic feelings, particularly when the image exists European rules enforce more budget cuts in the home country. Future research could indicate whether this indeed is the case.

Finally, several limitations of our study should be mentioned. First, I only dispose of cross-sectional data. As such, no causal inferences could be made. Second, the Flash Eurobarometer survey did not contain any information on the desired destination countries of young adults. Nevertheless, for a fully-flexed empirical elaboration of youth migration

dynamics within the European Union, such information would be highly valuable, as it would allow to investigate how differentials (in GDP, AIC, employment rates, but also salaries, welfare benefits, etc.) between source and destination countries might guide intra-EU youth migration. Third, it would be desirable to have more information of the household characteristics of young adults (e.g. their relationship status, living situation, eventual children) as well as their social networks and how this impacts on their migration aspirations. After all, it has been well documented in the literature, for example, that those who are more inclined to move are young, highly educated and single (e.g. Bijwaard 2010; Constant and Zimmerman 2012, 2011; Braun and Arsene 2009; Nekby 2006; Kahanec and Fabo 2013). As a result, it would be highly relevant to compare the family situation of young adults with and without migration aspirations. Fourth, a more fine-grained measures considering the specific aspirations of young people would be desirable as well. It is, for example, not the same to aim to work abroad compared to participating in a study exchange. The Eurobarometer does not allow, however, to differentiate between targeted mobility forms.

Despite these shortcomings, it remains clear that combatting youth unemployment is an issue that should be taken at heart by European politicians in order to promote real freedom of movement.

References

- Ajzen, Icek 2005. *Attitudes, Personality, and Behavior*. Maidenhead: Open University Press.
- Alderman, Liz. 2013. "Young and Educated in Europe, but Desperate for Jobs." In *The New York Times*.
- Azmat, Fara, Angela Osborne, Karen Le Rossignol, Uma Jogulu, Ruth Rentschler, Ian Robottom, and Vanaja Malathy. 2013. "Understanding aspirations and expectations of international students in Australian higher education." *Asia Pacific Journal of Education* 33 (1):97-111. doi: 10.1080/02188791.2012.751897.
- Bijwaard, Govert E. 2010. "Immigrant migration dynamics model for The Netherlands." *Journal of Population Economics* 23 (4):1213-47.
- Bjarnason, Thoroddur, and Thorolfur Thorlindsson. 2006. "Should I stay or should I go? Migration expectations among youth in Icelandic fishing and farming communities." *Journal of Rural Studies* 22:290-300.
- Boneva, Bonka, and Irene Hanson Frieze. 2001. "Toward a Concept of a Migrant Personality." *Journal of Social Issues* 57 (3):477-91.

- Borjas, George J, and Bernt Bratsberg. 1996. "Who Leaves? The Outmigration of the Foreign-Born." *The Review of Economics and Statistics* 78 (1):165-76.
- Braun, Michael, and Camelia Arsene. 2009. "The demographics of movers and stayers in the European Union." In *Pioneers of European Integration. Citizenship and Mobility in the EU*, edited by Ettore Recchi and Adrian Favell, 26-51. Cheltenham & Northampton: Edward Elgar.
- Cairns, David. 2009. "Uncertain travellers? Portuguese youth and geographical mobility intentions." In *25 Years of the Institute of Social Sciences*, edited by F Carreira da Silva, M. V Cabral, K Wall and S Aboim. Lisbon: ICS.
- . 2014. "'I Wouldn't Stay Here': Economic Crisis and Youth Mobility in Ireland." *International Migration* 52 (3):236-49.
- Cairns, David, Katarzyna Growiec, and Nuno de Almeida Alves. 2014. "Another 'Missing Middle'? The marginalised majority of tertiary-educated youth in Portugal during the economic crisis." *Journal of Youth Studies* 17 (8):1046-60. doi: 10.1080/13676261.2013.878789.
- Cairns, David, and Jim Smyth. 2011. "I wouldn't mind moving actually: Exploring Student Mobility in Northern Ireland." *International Migration* 49 (2):135-61.
- Carling, Jorgen. 2014. "The role of aspirations in migration." In *Determinants of International Migration*. International Migration Institute, Oxford.
- Carlson, Sören. 2013. "Becoming a Mobile Student - A Processual Perspective on German Degree Student Mobility." *Population, Space, and Place* 19 (2):168-80.
- Constant, Amelie F, and Klaus F Zimmerman. 2011. "Circular and Repeat Migration: Counts of Exits and Years Away from the Host Country." *Population Research and Policy Review* 30 (4):495-515.
- . 2012. "The Dynamics of Repeat Migration: A Markov Chain Analysis." *International Migration Review* 46 (2):362-88.
- Cook, Joanne, Peter Dwyer, and Louise Waite. 2011. "'Good Relations' among Neighbours and Workmates? The Everyday Encounters of Accession 8 Migrants and Established Communities in Urban England." *Population, Space, and Place* 17 (6):727-41.
- De Haas, Hein. 2010. "The internal dynamics of migration processes: A theoretical inquiry." *Journal of Ethnic and Migration Studies* 36 (10):1587-617.
- De Jong, Gordon F. 2000. "Expectations, gender, and norms in migration decision-making." *Population Studies* 54 (3):307-19. doi: 10.1080/713779089.

- de Valk, Helga A.G., and Juan Diez Medrano. 2014. "Guest editorial on meeting and mating across borders: Union formation in the European Union single market." *Population, Space and Place* 20 (2):103-9.
- Deakin, Hannah. 2014. "The drivers to Erasmus work placement mobility for UK students." *Children's Geographies* 12 (1):25-39. doi: 10.1080/14733285.2013.851063.
- Deléchat, Corinne. 2001. "International Migration Dynamics: The Role of Experience and Social Networks." *Labour* 15 (3):457-86.
- Eichhorst, Werner, Holger Hinte, and Ulf Rinne. 2013. "Youth Unemployment in Europe: What to Do About It?" *IZA Policy Paper No. 65*.
- Epstein, Gil S, and Ira N Gang. 2006. "The Influence of Others on Migration Plans." *Review of Development Economics* 10 (4):652-65.
- European Commission. 2010. *Geographical and Labour Market Mobility*. Brussels: European Commission.
- . 2014. *Erasmus. Facts, Figures and Trends . The European Union support for student and staff exchanges and university cooperation in 2012-2013*. Luxembourg: Publications Office of the European Union.
- European Parliament/European Commission. 2014. "Flash Eurobarometer 395 (European Youth 2014)." In, edited by TNS Political & Social. Brussels: GESIS Data Archive Cologne.
- Eurostat. "Glossary: Actual individual consumption (AIC) ", Accessed 16/09/2015.
http://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/statistics-explained/index.php/Glossary:Actual_individual_consumption_%28AIC%29.
- . "Unemployment statistics." http://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/statistics-explained/index.php/Unemployment_statistics.
- Fassmann, H, and P Meusburger. 1997. *Arbeitsmarktgeographie*. Stuttgart: Teubner.
- Feliciano, Cynthia. 2005. "Educational selectivity in U.S. Immigration: How do immigrants compare to those left behind?" *Demography* 42 (1):131-52. doi: 10.1353/dem.2005.0001.
- Garapich, Michal P. 2008. "The Migration Industry and Civil Society: Polish Immigrants in the United Kingdom Before and After EU Enlargement." *Journal of Ethnic and Migration Studies* 34 (5):735-52.
- Gill, Nick, and Paula Bialski. 2011. "New friends in new places: Network formation during the migration process among Poles in the UK." *Geoforum* 42 (2):241-9.
- Goldstein, Harvey. 1995. *Multilevel Statistical Models*. London: Edward Arnold.

- Guo, Guang, and Hongxin Zhao. 2000. "Multilevel modeling for binary data." *Annual Review of Sociology* 26:441-62.
- Hadler, Markus. 2006. "Intentions to migrate within the European Union: a challenge for simple economic macro-level explanations." *European Societies* 8 (1):111-40. doi: 10.1080/14616690500491324.
- Hox, Joop J. 2010. *Multilevel Analysis. Techniques and Applications*. New York: Routledge.
- Jokisch, Brad, and Jason Pribilsky. 2002. "The panic to leave: economic crisis and the new emigration from Ecuador." *International Migration* 40 (4):75-102.
- Kahanec, Martin, and Brian Fabo. 2013. "Migration Strategies of the Crisis-Stricken Youth in an Enlarged European Union." *IZA Discussion Paper* 7285:1-28.
- King, Russell. 2002. "Towards a new map of European migration." *International Journal of Population Geography* 8 (2):89-106.
- King, Russell, Tony Warnes, and Allan M Williams. 2000. *Sunset Lives. British Retirement Migration to the Mediterranean*. Oxford & New York: Berg.
- Koelet, Suzana, Christof Van Mol, and Helga A.G. de Valk. 2015. "The social networks of European migrants with a native partner in Belgium and the Netherlands." *Global Networks*.
- Lee, Everett S. 1966. "A theory of migration." *Demography* 3 (1):47-57. doi: 10.2307/2060063.
- Massey, Douglas S, Joaquín Arango, Graeme Huog, Ali Kouaouci, Adela Peregrino, and J Edward Taylor. 1998. *Worlds in Motion - Understanding International Migration at the End of the Millenium*. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- Massey, Douglas S, and R M Zenteno. 1999. "The dynamics of mass migration." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 96 (9):5328-35.
- Nekby, Lena. 2006. "The emigration of immigrants, return vs onward migration: evidence from Sweden." *Journal of Population Economics* 19 (2):197-226.
- Netz, Nicolai. 2015. "What Deters Students from Studying Abroad. Evidence from Four European Countries and Its Implications for Higher Education Policy." *Higher Education Policy* 28 (2):151-74.
- O'Reilly, Jacqueline, Werner Eichhorst, András Gábos, Kari Hadjivassiliou, David Lain, Janine Leschke, Seamus McGuinness, et al. 2015. "Five Characteristics of Youth Unemployment in Europe." *Flexibility, Education, Migration, Family Legacies, and EU Policy* 5 (1). doi: 10.1177/2158244015574962.

- Ralph, David. 2015. "'Always on the Move, but Going Nowhere Fast': Motivations for 'Euro-commuting' between the Republic of Ireland and Other EU States." *Journal of Ethnic and Migration Studies* 41 (2):176-95. doi: 10.1080/1369183X.2014.910447.
- Recchi, Ettore. 2015. *Mobile Europe. The Theory and Practice of Free Movement in the EU*. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Recchi, Ettore, and Adrian Favell. 2009. "Pioneers of European Integration: Citizenship and Mobility in the EU." In Cheltenham & Northampton: Edward Elgar Publishing Ltd.
- Salisbury, Mark H, Michael B Paulsen, and Ernest T Pascarella. 2010. "To See the World or Stay at Home: Applying an Integrated Student Choice Model to Explore the Gender Gap in the Intent to Study Abroad." *Research in Higher Education* 51 (7):615-40.
- Santacreu, Oscar, Emiliana Baldoni, and María Carmen Albert. 2009. "Deciding to move: migration projects in an integrating Europe." In *Pioneers of European Integration. Citizenship and Mobility in the EU*, edited by Ettore Recchi and Adrian Favell, 52-71. Cheltenham & Northampton: Edward Elgar.
- Shore, Cris, and Annabel Black. 1994. "Citizens' Europe and the construction of European identity." In *The Anthropology of Europe. Identities and boundaries in conflict*, edited by Victoria Goddard, Josep R Llobera and Cris Shore, 275-98. Oxford: Berg.
- Simmons, Alan B. 1985. "Recent studies on place-utility and intention to migrate: An international comparison." *Population and Environment* 8 (1-2):120-40. doi: 10.1007/BF01263020.
- Sjaastad, Larry A. 1962. "The Costs and Returns of Human Migration." *The Journal of Political Economy* 70 (5):80-93.
- Snijders, Tom A B, and Roel J Bosker. 1999. *Multilevel Analysis: An Introduction to Basic and Advanced Multilevel Modeling*. London: Sage.
- Thaut, Laura. 2009. "EU Integration & Emigration Consequences: The Case of Lithuania." *International Migration* 47 (1):191-233. doi: 10.1111/j.1468-2435.2008.00501.x.
- The Economist. "EU migration to Germany. Sprechen Sie job?", Accessed 22/09/2015. <http://www.economist.com/news/europe/21571930-more-southern-europeans-are-going-where-jobs-are-not-enough-sprechen-sie-job>
- . 2013b. *PIGS can fly. Some European economic migrants are more welcome than others*.
- Timmerman, Christiane, Petra Heyse, and Christof Van Mol. 2011. "Europe seen from the outside." In *Strangeness and familiarity: global unity and diversity in human rights and democracy*, edited by Hans Harbers, 146-67. Groningen Forum.

- Timmerman, Christiane, Rilke Mahieu, François Levrau, and Dirk Vanheule. 2015. "Europese migratie en mobiliteit. Andere tijden, nieuwe wegen?" In. Leuven: Universitaire Pers Leuven.
- Todaro, Michael P, and Lydia Maruszko. 1987. "Illegal migration and US immigration reform: a conceptual framework." *Population and Development Review* 13 (1):101-14.
- Tzeng, Rueyling 2012. "Middle Class International Migration: French Nationals Working in the UK." *Advances in Applied Sociology* 2 (2):120-6. doi: 10.4236/aasoci.2012.22016.
- van Dalen, Hendrik P., and Kène Henkens. 2012. "Explaining emigration intentions and behaviour in the Netherlands, 2005–10." *Population Studies* 67 (2):225-41. doi: 10.1080/00324728.2012.725135.
- Van Mol, Christof. 2014. *Intra-European Student Mobility in International Higher Education Circuits. Europe on the Move*. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Vandenbrande, Tom, Laura Coppin, Peter van der Hallen, Peter Ester, Didier Fourage, Anette Fasang, Sara Geerdes, and Klaus Schömann. 2006. *Mobility in Europe. Analysis of the 2005 Eurobarometer survey on geographical and labour market mobility*. Luxembourg: European Foundation for the Improvement of Living and Working Conditions.
- Verwiebe, Roland. 2008. "Migration to Germany: Is a Middle Class Emerging among Intra-European Migrants?" *Migration Letters* 5 (1):1-19.

Figure 1: Comparison of Eurostat youth unemployment rates and ratios with the share of unemployed young people in the sample




Table 1. Country-level characteristics, 2014

<i>Country</i>	<i>GDP per capita</i>	<i>AIC per capita</i>	<i>Unemployment rate (%)</i>	<i>Youth unemployment rate (%)</i>	<i>Youth Unemployment ratio</i>	<i>Migration aspirations</i>
Austria	128	121	5.6	10.3	6.0	41.5
Belgium	119	114	8.5	23.2	7.0	27.9
Bulgaria	54	49	11.4	23.8	6.5	60.6
Cyprus	85	91	16.1	36.0	14.5	57.5
Czech Republic	84	75	6.1	15.9	5.1	43.5
Germany	124	123	5.0	7.7	3.9	37.2
Denmark	124	115	6.6	12.6	7.8	49.1
Estonia	73	65	7.4	15.0	5.9	64.3
Spain	93	90	24.5	53.2	19.0	59.8
Finland	110	113	8.7	20.5	10.7	56.7
France	107	112	10.3	24.2	8.5	32.6
United Kingdom	108	114	6.1	16.9	9.8	29.6
Greece	72	83	26.5	52.4	14.7	44.6
Croatia	59	59	17.3	45.5	15.3	66.2
Hungary	68	62	7.7	20.4	6.0	53.7
Ireland	132	93	11.3	23.9	8.9	50.7
Italy	97	98	12.7	42.7	11.6	58.9

Lithuania	74	80	10.7	19.3	6.6	54.7
Luxembourg	263	140	6.0	22.0	6.0	50.0
Latvia	64	65	10.8	19.6	7.9	46.8
Malta	85	78	5.9	11.8	6.2	57.7
Netherlands	130	112	7.4	12.7	7.1	26.9
Poland	68	74	9	23.9	8.1	43.1
Portugal	78	83	14.1	34.7	11.9	54.6
Romania	54	55	6.8	24.0	7.1	61.9
Sweden	124	114	7.9	22.9	12.7	52.7
Slovenia	83	74	9.7	20.2	6.8	65.5
Slovakia	76	74	13.2	29.7	9.2	50.0

Source: GDP, AIC and (youth) unemployment rates and ratios are based on numbers of Eurostat. Youth unemployment rates and ratios cover youth aged 16 until 24.

Migration aspirations per country are based on the Flash Eurobarometer 395 'European Youth 2014'. Migration aspirations cover the population of youth people from 16 until 30.

Table 2. Descriptive statistics of the sample

<i>Variable</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>SE</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>
Age	23.42	4.21	13,437	16	30
<i>Variable</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	
Migration aspirations		13,078	0	1	
No	50.3	6,577			
Yes	49.7	6,501			
Gender		13,437	0	1	
Female	47.9	6,439			
Male	52.1	6,998			
Educational level			1	4	
No formal education or until age 15	2.65	352			
Until age 16-19	26.72	3,555			
Until age 20 or older	34.28	4,561			
Still studying	36.35	4,836			
Employment status		13,401	1	3	
Unemployed	14.9	1,996			
Employed	50.1	6,708			
Student	35.0	4,697			
Studied/Lived Abroad		13,430	0	1	
No	82.3	11,057			
Yes	17.7	2,373			
Urban settlement		13,403	1	3	
Rural area	30.0	4,024			
Small to medium sized town	37.8	5,071			
Large town	32.1	4,308			
Opinion education-work well adapted		13,049	1	4	
Not at all adapted	10.0	1,310			
Not very well adapted	33.4	4,362			
Fairly well adapted	48.0	6,267			
Very well adapted	8.5	1,110			
Feeling youth is marginalised		13,209	1	4	

No, definitely not	3.5	457
No, not really	13.1	1,732
Yes, to some extent	53.3	7,043
Yes, definitely	30.1	3,977

Table 3. Multilevel binary logistic regression (odds ratios, standard errors in parentheses)

	<i>Model I</i>	<i>Model II</i>
	Individual characteristics	Macro context
<i>Individual level</i>		
Gender (ref: female)	1.13 (.044)**	1.13 (.044)**
Age	0.93 (.006)***	0.93 (.006)***
Education (ref: 16-19 years old)		
Until age 15 / No education	0.92 (.118)	0.93 (.119)
20 years and older	1.17 (.062)**	1.17 (.061)**
Still studying	1.54 (.110)***	1.55 (.111)***
Employment status (ref: Employed)		
Unemployed	1.26 (.073)***	1.25 (.073)***
Student	1.49 (.103)***	1.49 (.103)***
Experience living abroad (ref: no)	2.19 (.116)***	2.18 (.116)***
Urban settlement (ref: rural area)		
Small or medium sized town	1.25 (.060)***	1.25 (.060)***
Large town	1.51 (.077)***	1.51 (.077)***
Opinion education-work adaptation (ref: not at all adapted)		
Not very well adapted	0.85 (.060)*	0.85 (.060)*
Fairly well adapted	0.67 (.048)***	0.67 (.048)***
Very well adapted	0.59 (.056)***	0.60 (.056)***
Feeling youth marginalised (ref: no, not at all)		
No, not really	1.11 (.131)	1.11 (.132)
Yes, to some extent	1.16 (.127)	1.16 (.127)
Yes, definitely	1.47 (.165)***	1.47 (.165)***
<i>Country-level</i>		
Youth unemployment level		1.00 (.017)
General unemployment level		0.95 (.030)

GDP per capita		1.00 (.003)
AIC per capita		0.98 (.005)***
Youth unemployment ratio		1.09 (.044)*
McKelvey & Zavoina R ²	.10	.14
ICC	.06	.03

* $p \leq .05$, ** $p \leq .01$, *** $p \leq .001$

Table 4. Interaction-effects

	<i>Odds ratio (standard error)</i>
<i>Interactions</i>	
Education x Employment Status	
No education x Unemployed	0.92 (.363)
Education until age 16-19 x Unemployed	0.90 (.247)
Education until age 20 or more x Unemployed	0.88 (.241)
<i>Cross-level interactions</i>	
Youth unemployment ratio (YUR) x education	
YUR x No education	1.07 (.056)
YUR x Education until age 16-19	1.08 (.045)
YUR x Education until age 20 or more	1.10 (.046)*
YUR x Still studying	1.10 (.05)*
Youth unemployment ratio x education x employment status	
YUR x No education x Unemployed	0.95 (.03)
YUR x No education x Employed	0.95 (.03)
YUR x Education until age 16-19 x Unemployed	0.97 (.015)
YUR x Education until age 16-19 x Employed	0.96 (.011)***
YUR x Education until age 20 or more x Unemployed	0.99 (.014)
YUR x Education until age 20 or more x Employed	0.98 (.012)
Youth unemployment ratio x Gender	0.99 (.011)

Note: All interaction analyses control for the variables included in table 3.